

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services
Institutional Review Board Meeting
Minutes
February 26, 2019

CHICAGO

Janet Ahern
Dr. Margaret Scotellaro
Janet Chandler

ON PHONE

Rocell Cyrus
Tammy Fuller
Brooke Taylor

EXCUSED

Robin Albritton
Arricka Newingham

CALL TO ORDER

Janet Ahern called the meeting to order at 2:13 p.m. A quorum was present.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION: Tammy Fuller made a motion to approve the minutes December 18, 2018.

Janet Chandler seconded the motion and a unanimous vote from the remaining board members carried the motion.

NEW PROPOSALS

Ellen Chadwick. *IMPAACT P1112 open-Label, Dose-Escalating, Phase I Study to Determine Safety and Pharmacokinetic Parameters of Subcutaneous (SC) VRC01, VRC01LS and VRC07-523ILS, Potent Anti-HIV Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibodies in HIV-1 Exposed Infants, version 4.0, dated 06 November 2018.*

No comments. This is just an annual review. No changes were made to the protocol.

MOTION: Margaret Scotellaro motioned to approve the proposal.

Rocell Cyrus seconded the proposed motion and a unanimous vote from the remaining board members carried the motion.

Ellen Chadwick. *P1115: Very Early Intensive Treatment of HIV-Infected Infants to Achieve HIV Remission: A Phase I/II Proof of Concept Study Version 2.0, dated 17 September 2018.*

No comments. This is just an annual review. No changes were made to the protocol.

MOTION: Margaret Scotellaro motioned to approve the proposal.

Janet Chandler seconded the proposed motion and a unanimous vote from the remaining board members carried the motion.

Patrick Fowler. *Housing Services for Child Welfare Involved Families: Impact on Safety, Permanency and Well-Being.*

How is he going to figure out people are homeless? Does he have access to administrative data? When a youth leaves, they leave, we don't know what happens. How would the researcher follow them? Researcher would need consent from the youth in care to follow them.

Data collection through interviews, but not with youth aging out of care. Doing them with caregivers. Needs guardian consent (when they are doing these interviews with the youth).

Housing assistance can only come into play after they age out. Guardian cannot consent over 18.

Only adding another group to the already approved study. Only have access to the database on housing through John Egan.

Vague on the data that they're getting from Chapin Hall. No information on what outcomes they are expecting. No hypothesis for the youth in care and no way to norm it.

Most are not applicable to youth who have aged out.

Tammy Fuller will follow up with the researcher around the questions that have been raised.

Need new IRB from St. Louis University – since this has been amended.

MOTION: Motion made to table the proposal until more information is received.

Hyunil Kim. *What Happens inside Child Welfare: Using Predictive Analytics to Identify and Respond to Youth at Risk of Aging Out.*

Lots of questions – where are they getting their data – Chapin Hall, not from CFRC. Going to ask about getting access to Chapin data. How do they have access to the other state's systems (it's just Illinois).

What are they doing/measuring – develop a predictive model to predict which kids will age out, run away, incarceration (not using DOC database), asking for DJJ database (not sure how they will get that). Very vague on other exits.

Using administrative linked databases to try to predict the adverse exits.

What does census data have to do with this? Rational is to look at neighborhood/community poverty levels.

Don't have hypothesis of what is predictive. Throw everything in and 'see what sticks' – it's exploratory.

May not have a clear understanding of integrated database or maybe they do.

Needs IRB.

Secondary data analysis. Does University of Illinois have a data sharing agreement with Chapin Hall and what information are they requesting from Chapin Hall?

Brooke will follow up with the researcher.

MOTION: Motion made to table the proposal until more information is received.

Jonathan Perle. *The Impact of Postpartum Depression on Parenting Attitudes and Beliefs of Adolescent Mothers.*

How does Midwestern University have access to UCAN data?

Consent checklist is blank (needs filled out).

Data – they want copies of everything. How is he going to access the parenting questionnaires? UCAN is collecting them.

Why is he choosing this specific population (youth in care)

Sample size is about 400 youth. How many are going to have post-partum depression and where would it say that they have PPD? All have been administered – wants to link the PPD and ATI.

Does he have an agreement with UCAN? How is he getting this data? If only looking at youth in care – not generalizable.

Need IRB.

MOTION: Motion made to table the proposal until more information is received.

Nancy Rolock. *National Quality Improvement Center for Adoption and Guardianship Support for Preservation (QIC-AG) – Addendum.*

Growth of the original study by University of Illinois. No, this is a different one.

Need to turn over de-identified data over – asking permission to give a copy of the data set to DHHS and pretty much already agreed to do so – otherwise they wouldn't have been selected.

Research funded data – sounds like it.

More of a legal question than an IRB question. Provided in the actual agreement. Shouldn't present a problem. This information is valuable information to the Department.

Department is getting the data – just asking if everyone else can – it's de-identified – doesn't hurt anyone.

MOTION: Tammy Fuller motioned to approve the proposal.

Rocell Cyrus seconded the proposed motion and a unanimous vote from the remaining board members carried the motion.

OTHER

Emily Smith Goering Report. No comments.

Lee Annes Evaluation Question. Doesn't need to go through the IRB. If they want access to individual information, they would need to go through the Guardian's office. Caseworkers would consent for themselves.

Next meeting was scheduled for March 26, 2019 2018 at a 2 PM.

The meeting adjourned at 3:04 p.m.